

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996  
Facsimile (702) 949-8321  
Telephone (702) 949-8320

Robert M. Charles, Jr. NV State Bar No. 006593  
Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com  
John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024  
Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com  
Marvin Ruth NV State Bar No. 10979  
Email: mruth@lrlaw.com

Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

In re:

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE  
COMPANY,

Debtor.

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR

Chapter 11

**SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION  
OF USACM TRUST TO PROOFS OF  
CLAIM BASED ENTIRELY UPON  
INVESTMENT IN DEL VALLE  
LIVINGSTON LOAN**

**Date of Hearing: July 26, 2011**

**Time of Hearing: 1:30 p.m.**

**Estimated Time for hearing: 10 min.**

The USACM Liquidating Trust (the "USACM Trust") moves this Court, pursuant to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), for an order disallowing the Proofs of Claim listed in **Exhibit A**. These claims were filed by investors ("Direct Lenders") against USA Commercial Mortgage Company ("USACM") based upon an investment in a loan to Del Valle Capital Corporation, Inc. ("Borrower"). This loan will be referred to as the DVL Loan. This Objection is supported by the Court's record and the Declarations of Geoffrey L. Berman and Edward M. Burr in Support of Omnibus Objections to Proofs of Claim Based Upon the Investment in the DVL Loan. (the "Berman Decl." and "Burr Decl.").

THIS OBJECTION DOES NOT RELATE TO AND WILL NOT IMPACT THE  
DIRECT LENDERS' RIGHTS TO REPAYMENT ON THE DVL LOAN OR TO  
SHARE IN ANY PROCEEDS GENERATED FROM THE SALE OF THE REAL  
PROPERTY SECURING THE DVL LOAN OR SHARE IN THE RECOVERY OF ANY  
FUNDS FROM THE GUARANTOR OF THE LOAN.

## **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

### **I. BACKGROUND FACTS**

#### **a. The USACM Bankruptcy**

On April 13, 2006 ("Petition Date"), USACM filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor continued to operate its business as debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor's post-petition management of the Debtor was under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC, who served as the Chief Restructuring Officer.

USACM was a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account. That business included the solicitation of investors to purchase fractional interest in loans that USACM originated and then serviced. These investors are referred to as "Direct Lenders" in USACM's bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the "Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization" as Modified Herein [Docket No. 2376]. As part of the Plan, and pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement filed with this Court, USACM sold the servicing rights to most of the loans it serviced to Compass Partners, LLC and Compass Financial Partners, LLC ("Compass"), including the DVL Loan. The sale to Compass closed on February 16, 2007.

1 The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was March 12,  
2 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the  
3 successor to USACM with respect to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of  
4 Claims under 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).

5 Upon information derived from filings in the United States District Court, District  
6 of Nevada, *3685 San Fernando Lenders Company, LLC, et al v. Compass USA SPE, LLC,*  
7 *et al*, No. 2:07-cv-00892-RCJ-GWF action, the Trust believes that Silar Advisors, LP  
8 (“Silar”) financed Compass’ acquisition of the Purchased Assets, including the loan  
9 service agreements in the USACM bankruptcy case and took a secured interest in those  
10 Purchased Assets by executing a Master Repurchase Agreement (“Repurchase  
11 Agreement”) with Compass, and by filing a UCC-1 financing statement with the State of  
12 Delaware.” *Id.* Docket 1250 at 13-14 (citations to declarations omitted).

13 Further, from filings in the same action, the Trust believes that “Effective as of  
14 September 26, 2007, Silar foreclosed on Compass through Asset Resolution LLC (“Asset  
15 Resolution”) and took ownership of the Purchased Assets. ... Silar created Asset  
16 Resolution as a ‘single purpose entity,’ conveyed all of its interests in the Repurchase  
17 Agreement to Asset Resolution, and Asset Resolution properly foreclosed on the assets of  
18 Compass, including the Purchased Assets.” (Citations omitted.) Asset Resolution LLC is  
19 now a debtor in a chapter 7 bankruptcy case pending in Nevada, case no. BK-S-09-32824-  
20 RCJ, along with certain affiliates.<sup>1</sup> William A. Leonard, Jr. was appointed trustee in the  
21 Asset Resolution case.

22 The Trust has attempted to monitor loan collections through monitoring the district  
23 court litigation and the ARC bankruptcy case, but has received limited information  
24

25 <sup>1</sup> 10 90 SPE LLC, Fiesta Stoneridge LLC, CFP Gramercy SPE LLC, Bundy 2.5 Million SPE LLC, CFP  
26 Cornman Toltec SPE LLC, Bundy Five Million LLC, Fox Hills SPE LLC, HFAH Monaco SPE LLC,  
Huntsville SPE LLC, Lake Helen Partners SPE LLC, Ocean Atlantic SPE LLC, CFP, Gess SPE LLC, CFP  
DVL SPE LLC, and Shamrock SPE LLC.

concerning servicing and resolution of direct loans by Compass/Silar/Asset Resolution or their successors, including the trustee in bankruptcy for Asset Resolution. By Order entered on June 24, 2010 by the Hon. Robert C. Jones in United States Bankruptcy Court (Case No. BK-S-09-32824-RCJ), the servicing rights for 19 loans were transferred to Cross, FLS. The DVL Loan servicing rights were transferred to Cross, FLS by that Order. The Trust has been in contact with Cross, FLS with regard to the status of the DVL Loan.

The following is the extent of the USACM Trust's information on the current servicing and status of the DVL Loan.

**b. The DVL Loan**

USACM circulated an Offer Sheet to prospective Direct Lenders soliciting funding for an acquisition and development loan to a borrower identified as "Del Valle Capital Corporation, Inc." A copy of the Offer Sheet is attached hereto as **Exhibit B** and incorporated by this reference. (Berman Decl., ¶ 4.) The total loan amount proposed was \$19,250,000. The Offer Sheet described the investment as a "First Trust Deed Investment" and noted that the investment would be secured by a first deed of trust on approximately 143.6 acres with 38.2 acres zones for medium density residential housing in Livingston, California. The Offer Sheet indicates that the loan was intended for Borrower to acquire the raw real estate. The Offer Sheet indicated that the combined loan to value ratio would be 63% based on a February 6, 2006 appraisal by Sierra Valuation Consultants, and 57% based on purchase and sales contracts with Richmond Homes and Meritage Homes.

On August 25, 2005, Borrower made and delivered to various lenders, including the Direct Lenders identified in **Exhibit A**, a "Promissory Note Secured by Deed of Trust" (the "Note") and a Loan Agreement. (Berman Decl., ¶ 5.) The Note and Loan Agreement provided for a loan amount of \$18,000,000, which could be increased to \$19,250,000. (Berman Decl., ¶ 6.) The Note was secured by a "Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents,

Security Agreement and Fixture Filing” (“Deed of Trust”) that was recorded in the official records of Merced County, California on September 8, 2005, along with subsequent amendments thereto that secured the later loan advances that increased the principal amount due to the maximum \$19,250,000. (Berman Decl., ¶ 6.) The Note was also supported by an August 25, 2005 Guaranty signed by Scott Myers. (Berman Decl., ¶ 7.)

The USACM “Loan Summary” dated July 31, 2006 and filed in this case shows that Borrower was “Non-performing” on the Note as of July 31, 2006. (Berman Decl., ¶ 8.) During this bankruptcy case through the transfer of servicing to Compass, USACM treated the Direct Lenders with respect to any interim payments by the borrower in accordance with this Court’s orders.

Counsel for the Trustee had a brief conversation with a representative of Cross, FLS regarding the status of the DVL Loan. According to Cross, FLS, the Borrower still owns the property, and the Direct Lenders are planning to foreclose and will thereafter try to sell the property. The guarantor, Scott Meyers, cannot be located and is believed to be out of the country.

### c. The DVL Claims

**Exhibit A**, attached, lists Proofs of Claim filed by Direct Lenders that appear to be based upon an investment in the DVL Loan. (Burr Decl., ¶ 7.) **Exhibit A** identifies the Proof of Claim number, the claimant, the claimant’s address, the total amount of the claim and the total amount of the claim that appears to be related to an investment in the DVL Loan based upon the information provided by the claimant. The claims listed in **Exhibit A** are referred to hereafter as the “DVL Claims.” As required by Nevada LR 3007, a copy of the first page of the proof of claim for each of the claims referenced in **Exhibit A** are attached as **Exhibit C**.

**II. JURISDICTION**

The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

**III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY**

Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is “unenforceable against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(b). A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.)*, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1995), *aff’d*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996).

**IV. THE OBJECTION**

The DVL Loan appears to have been a legitimate, arms-length transaction. In addition, the Direct Lenders took a known risk by investing in a promissory note secured by a first position lien on real property.

USACM is not liable for the Borrower’s default or any decrease in the value of the collateral.

The Direct Lenders fail to state a claim because USACM does not appear to have breached the loan servicing agreements with respect to collection of the DVL Loan.

1 USACM was under no duty to foreclose on the collateral securing the DVL Loan or take  
2 any other action.

3 This objection will not affect the Direct Lenders' right to be repaid on the DVL  
4 Loan by the Borrower, to recover from the sale of any collateral that secured the DVL  
5 Loan or collect on the guaranty that supported the loan.

6 **V. CONCLUSION**

7 The USACM Trust respectfully requests that the Court disallow the claims against  
8 USACM listed in **Exhibit A** in full because those claims are based entirely upon an  
9 investment in the DVL Loan. This objection concerns only claims based upon an  
10 investment in the DVL Loan and not any other claims of any of the Direct Lenders. The  
11 USACM Trust also requests such other and further relief as is just and proper.

12 Dated: June 23, 2011.

13 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

14 By s/John Hinderaker (AZ 18024)

15 Robert M. Charles, Jr., NV 6593

16 John Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (*pro hac vice*)

17 Marvin Ruth, NV 10979

18 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600

19 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

20 E-mail: JHinderaker@lrlaw.com

21 Attorneys for the USACM Liquidating Trust

22 Copy of the foregoing and pertinent  
23 portion of Exhibits mailed by first  
24 class postage prepaid U.S. Mail on  
25 June 23, 2011 to all parties listed on  
26 Exhibit A attached.

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

s/ Matt Burns

Matt Burns